

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

CHARI FETROW-FIX and THOMAS
SORANNO, individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

HARRAH'S ENTERTAINMENT, INC., a
Delaware Corporation; HARRAH'S
OPERATING COMPANY, INC.; HARRAH'S
LAUGHLIN, INC.; and DOES No. 1 through
100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:10-cv-00560-RLH-PAL

ORDER

(Motion for Reconsideration—#114)

Before the Court is an Order (#112) entered by the Honorable Peggy A. Leen,
Magistrate Judge, regarding Plaintiffs' Chari Fetrow-Fix and Thomas Soranno's Motion for
Conditional Certification of Collective Action (#80).

Plaintiff Thomas Soranno filed Objections to Magistrate Judge Leen's Order (#114)
in accordance with Rule IB 3-1 of the Local Rules of Practice of the United States District Court
for the District of Nevada. Defendants Harrah's Entertainment, Inc., Harrah's Operating
Company, Inc., and Harrah's Laughlin, Inc., filed a Response (#115) to the Objections, and this


1 matter was referred for consideration. Plaintiff filed a Reply (#116) to Defendants' Response, but
2 because the rules of civil procedure do not allow for a reply in support of objections to a magistrate
3 judge's order, the Court will not consider it. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72*; LR IB 3-1, 3-2.

4 The Court has conducted a de novo review of the record in accordance with 28
5 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A), (B), and (C) and Local Rule IB 3-1 and determines that the Order (#112) is
6 not clearly erroneous or contrary to law and should be affirmed.

7 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Leen's Order (#112) is
8 AFFIRMED, Plaintiff's Objections are overruled, and Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration
9 (#114) is DENIED.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Reply
11 (#118) is GRANTED.

12 Dated: May 9, 2012

13
14 
15 **ROGER L. HUNT**
16 **United States District Judge**
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26